City of Lakewood

Utilization Analysis Chart - December 31, 2020

Relevant Labor Market: Pierce County, Washington

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | American Ind | | | | | | awaiian or Other | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|------|-------|---------------|------|---------|------------------|-------------|---------------|----------|-----------|
| | Total | White | | | | | or Latino | | Black or Afric | | | | | ive | | Asian | | | | | ific Islander | | ore Races |
| Job Categories | Total | Ma | le | Female | Ma | ale | Fema | ale | Ma | le | Fem | ale | Male | Fema | ale | Male | | Female | | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Officials/Administrators | | 1 | | | | | | | ı | ı | ı | | | | - 1 | | | | | | | 1 1 | |
| Workforce # & % | 23 | 12 | 52.2% | 8 34.8% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 4.3% | 0 | 0.0% | | 1.3% | | .3% | 0 0. | | 0 0.0% | |
| CLS # & % | | 17,155 | 48.4% | 11,340 32.0% | 980 | 2.7% | 790 | 0.7% | 785 | 2.2% | 765 | 2.2% | 260 0.7% | 205 | 0.6% | 875 2 1.8% | 2.5% | | .7% | 10 0. | | 205 0.6% | <u> </u> |
| Utilization | | 3.8% | | 2.8% | -2.7% | | -0.7% | | -2.2% | | -2.2% | | 3.6% | -0.6 | -0.6% | | | 1.6% | | 0.0% | -0.4% | -0.6% | -0.6% |
| Professionals | | I | 1 | | - 1 | | - 1 | | 1 | ı | 1 | | | | ı | | | | | - 1 | | 1 1 | |
| Workforce # & % | 31 | 15 | 48.4% | 10 39.0% | 3 | 9.7% | 2 | 6.5% | 0 | 0.0% | 1.00 | 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0.00 | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | 0 0. | | 0 0.0% | |
| CLS # & % | | 16,390 | | 25,065 49.5% | 650 | 1.3% | 1155 | 2.3% | 685 | 1.4% | 1,065 | 2.1% | 160 0.3% | 195 | 0.4% | | 3.1% | _ | .9% | 155 0. | | 170 0.3% | |
| Utilization | | 16.0% | | -10.5% | 8.4% | | 4.2% | | -1.4% | | -2.1% | | -0.3% -0.4% | | % | -3.1% | | -3.9% | | -0.3% | -0.2% | -0.3% | -0.3% |
| Technicians | | | | | | | | | - 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Workforce # & % | 3 | 1 | 33.3% | 2 66.7% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | | 0.0% | | 0.0% | 0 0. | | 0 0.0% | |
| CLS # & % | | 2,265 | 25.6% | 4,340 49.1% | 190 | 2.2% | 150 | 1.7% | 510 | 5.8% | 250 | 2.8% | 4 0.0% | 45 | 0.5% | 220 2 | 2.5% | 330 3 | .7% | 0 0. | 0% 80 0.9% | 60 0.7% | 70 0.8% |
| Utilization | | 7.7 | % | 17.6% | % -2.2% | | -1.7% | | -5.8% | | -2.8% | | 0.0% -0.5 | | % | 6 -2.5% | | -3.7% | | 0.0% | -0.9% | -0.7% | -0.8% |
| Protective Services: Sworn | | | | | | | | | | ı | ı | | | | ı | | | | | - 1 | | | |
| Workforce # & % | 95 | 64 | 67.4% | 4 3.2% | 5 | 5.3% | 1 | 1.1% | 6 | 6.3% | 1 | 1.1% | 1 1.1% | 0 | 0.0% | 6 6 | 5.3% | 0 0 | 0.0% | 1 1. | 1% 0 0.0% | 5 5.3% | 1 2.2% |
| CLS # & % | | 4,045 | 64.4% | 830 13.2% | 210 | 3.3% | 45 | 0.8% | 550 | 8.8% | 80 | 1.3% | 60 1.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 260 4 | 1.1% | 55 0 | .9% | 30 0. | 5% 10 0.2% | 4 0.1% | 0 0.0% |
| Utilization | | 3.0 | % | -10.0% | 2.0 | 0% | 0.3 | % | -2.5 | 5% | -0.2 | 2% | 0.1% | 0.09 | % | 2.2% | | -0.9% | | 0.6% | -0.2% | 5.2% | 2.2% |
| Protective Services: Non- sworn | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Workforce # & % | 12 | 7 | 58.3% | 2 23.1% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 8.3% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 0 | 0.0% | 1 8 | 3.3% | 0 0. | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 1 8.3% |
| CLS # & % | | 295 | 48.0% | 235 38.2% | 15 | 2.4% | 35 | 5.7% | 10 | 1.6% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 4 | 0.7% | 10 1 | .6% | 0 0 | .0% | 0 0. | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% |
| Utilization | | 10.3 | 3% | -15.1% | -2. | 4% | 2.6 | % | -1.6 | 5% | 0.0 | % | 0.0% | -0.7 | % | -1.6% | | 8.3% | | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 8.3% |
| Administrative Support | | , | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | , , | , , |
| Workforce # & % | 27 | 1 | 3.7% | 16 64.3% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 3.7% | 0 | 0.0% | 3 | 11.1% | 0 0.0% | 1 | 16.7% | 0 0 | 0.0% | 0 0 | .0% | 0 0. | 0% 2 7.1% | 0 0.0% | 3 11.1% |
| CLS # & % | | 20,495 | 25.7% | 40,580 50.9% | 1580 | 2.0% | 2,860 | 3.6% | 1,595 | 2.0% | 3,040 | 3.8% | 275 0.3% | 510 | 0.6% | 1790 2 | 2.2% | 2,630 3 | .3% | 240 0. | 3% 740 0.9% | 250 0.3% | 695 0.9% |
| Utilization | | -22.0% | | 13.4% | -2.0% | | 0.1% | | -2.0% | | 7.3% | | -0.3% | 16.1 | 16.1% | | | -3.3% | | -0.3% | 6.2% | -0.3% | 10.2% |
| Skilled Craft | | , | | , and the second | | | | | | | | | | | , | | | | | | | , | , , |
| Workforce # & % | 5 | 5 | 100.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 0 | 0.0% | 0 0 | .0% | 0 0. | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 0.0% |
| CLS # & % | | 21,715 | 73.0% | 1,070 3.6% | 3,145 | 10.5% | 125 | 0.5% | 1,085 | 3.6% | 90 | 0.3% | 145 0.5% | 25 | 0.1% | 1000 3 | 3.4% | 205 0 | .7% | 160 0. | 5% 30 0.1% | 160 0.5% | 45 0.2% |
| Utilization | | 27.0% -3 | | -3.6% | -10.5% | | -0.5% | | -3.6% | | -0.3% | | -0.5% -0.3 | | % | -3.4% | | -0.7% | | -0.5% -0.1% | | -0.5% | -0.2% |
| Service/Maintenance | | | | | | | | | | | , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Workforce # & % | 10 | 4 | 40.0% | 0 0.0% | 1 | 10.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 20.0% | 0.00 | 0.0% | 0 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 10 | 0.0% | 0 0 | .0% | 0 0. | 0 0.0% | 2 20.0% | 0 0.0% |
| CLS # & % | | 29,640 | 35.2% | 26,445 31.4% | 6,345 | 7.5% | 3,665 | 4.4% | 3,595 | 4.3% | 2,415 | 2.9% | 485 0.6% | 380 | 0.5% | 2,855 | 3.4% | 4035 4 | .8% | 705 0. | 370 0.4% | 340 0.4% | 520 0.6% |
| Utilization | | 4.8% | | -31.4% | 1.4% 2.5% | | -4.4% | | 15.7% | | -2.9% | | -0.6% | -0.5 | -0.5% | | | -4.8% | | -0.8% | -0.4% | 19.6% | -0.6% |
| Total Number of Employees | 206 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CLS = Community Labor Statist | ics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Utilization = Workforce % - CLS | %, a n | egative nu | mber indi | cates underutilizat | ion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9/30 | /2020 |
| Highlighted areas = underutiliz | Highlighted areas = underutilization of 3% or more. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |