1	BEFORE THE CITY OF LAKEWOOD HEARINGS EXAMINER				
2	IN RE:)	Land Use Modification		
BA OR DEVELOPMENT-COMPANY II) Permit No. 9014 Conditional Lies Permit A					
4)	Conditional Use Permit Application No. 3241		
5)	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
6)	AND DECISION: (1) GRANTING LAND USE MODIFICATION PERMIT		
7)	NO. 9014 MODIFYING PREVIOUSLY GRANTED CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT		
8		<u> </u>	NO. LU-18-00222 AND (2) GRANTING CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO		
9)	ESTABLISH PARKING LOT FOR TRUCK/TRAILER PARKING		
10)	ASSOCIATED WITH ADJACENT WAREHOUSE		
11					
12	APPLICANT:	LBA OR Develop	ment-Company II		
13	REPRESENTATIVE:	Michael Gregg	*		
14	LOCATION OF PROPOSAL:				
15	3515 82nd Street South, Lakewood, Washington/82nd Street South.				
16					
17	SUMMARY OF REQUEST:				
18	The Applicant has submitted two related applications: Land Use Modification Permit No. 9014				
19	seeks to remove a restriction from the Conditional Use Permit No. LU-18-00222 which currently prohibits semi-truck traffic on 82nd Street as part of the Conditional Use Permit allowing the property at 3451 84th Street South to serve as a warehouse. If this request is granted, the Applicant then seeks a Conditional Use Permit (Permit No. 3241) to allow the property at 3518				
20					
21	82nd Street South to serve as an enclosed parking lot for truck trailer parking associated with the warehouse.				
22	SUMMARY OF DECISION)N·			
23					
24	bout applications are appro	oved subject to the co	onditions recommended by City Staff.		
25					
	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of and Decision - 1	Law	CITY OF LAKEWOOD HEARING EXAMINER 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532		

Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-3387

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision - 2

In 2019, the City's then Hearing Examiner granted the Applicant's request for a Conditional Use Permit (Permit No. LU-18-00222) to establish a warehouse facility at 3451 84th Street South in Lakewood. Conditional use approval was conditioned on the requirement that all truck and trailer traffic would not utilize or cross 82nd Street located immediately north of the project site, and that all traffic would instead rely upon 84th Street south of the project site.

In 2022, the Applicant sought a "Director Determination" from the City's Development Director, asking the Director to determine that the Applicant's proposed use of property at 3515 82nd Street South for a trailer parking facility be recognized as an approved use. Instead, the Director issued his determination that the proposed use was not an allowed use under the City's regulations then in effect. The Director's decision was affirmed on appeal by the Hearing Examiner in March 2023, Application No. 3426.

The City has since revised its Development Regulations such that the warehouse would no longer qualify for a Conditional Use Permit at this site (and is now a legal nonconforming use) but the project site at 3515 82nd Street South now qualifies for use as a truck/trailer parking lot for the warehouse subject to a Conditional Use Permit. Such a permit is of no use, however, unless the earlier restriction prohibiting truck/trailer activity on 82nd Street South is removed, thereby allowing the movement of trailers between the warehouse and the parking area across 82nd Street South.

City Staff recommends approval of both the modification to Conditional Use Permit LU-18-00222 to eliminate the restriction on the use of 82nd Street South for semi-truck traffic, followed then by approval of the proposed Conditional Use Permit to allow truck/trailer parking across the street from the warehouse at 3515 82nd Street South, subject to various conditions as set forth in the two Staff Reports. The Applicant does not object to any proposed conditions of approval.

1.	PUBLIC HEARING		
2	The public hearing on the two applications commenced at 10:00 a.m. on Wednesday,		
3	May 8, 2024. The hearing occurred remotely utilizing the Zoom platform with City Staff serving		
4	as the host. The City appeared through Andrea Bell, Senior Planner. The Application appeared		
5	through Michael Gregg and Bill Plautz. Several other staff members and Applicant		
6	representatives were present. There were no members of the public present. Testimony was		
7	received from Ms. Bell, Mr. Gregg and Mr. Plautz. A verbatim recording was made of the		
8	public hearing and all testimony was taken under oath. Documents considered at the time of the		
9	hearing were the following:		
10	Conditional Use Permit No. 3241		
11	A. B.	Staff Report Site Plan	
12	C. D.	Driveway Crossing Plan Land Use Modification Description	
13	E.	Agency Comments from City of Lakewood Public Works Engineering dated February 15, 2024	
14	F.	Public Comment from Kathy Guptill received January 4, 2024	
	G.	Combined Notice of Application	
15	H. I.	Notice of Public Hearing Original Hearing Examiners Decision for CUP #LU-78-00222	
16	J.	SEPA Amendment #9362	
10	K.	Original Determination of Non-significance #LU-27-00221	
17	L.	Determination of Non-significance for CUP#3241	
	M.	CUP Required Findings	
18	N.	Operational Characteristics	
19	O.	Traffic Impact Analysis Prepared by Heath & Associates, dated August 2023	
20	P.	Approved Landscaping Plans	
21	Land	Use Modification Permit No. 9014	
22		C4-CCD	
22	A. B.	Staff Report Site Plan	
23	C.	Driveway Crossing Plan	
25	D.	Land Use Modification Description	
24	E.	Agency Comments from City of Lakewood Public Works	
	E,	Engineering dated February 15, 2024	
25	F.	Public Comment from Kathy Guptill received January 4, 2024	
		t, Conclusions of Law CITY OF LAKEWOOD HEARING EXAMINER	

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision - 3

299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 **CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532** Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-3387

1 Notice of Application G. Notice of Public Hearing H. 2 Original Hearing Examiners Decision for CUP -#LU-78-00222 I. SEPA Amendment -#9362 J. 3 K. Original Determination of Non-significance -#LU-27-00227 Determination of Non-significance for CUP-#3247 L. 4 M. **CUP** Required Findings N. **Operational Characteristics** 5 Traffic Impact Analysis Prepared by Heath & Associates, dated August 0. 2023 6 P. Approved Landscaping Plans. 7 No other exhibits were presented during the hearing. 8 9 At the commencement of the hearing the Hearing Examiner advised the 10 parties that the two pending applications would be considered concurrently rather 11 than separately. Witnesses were therefore encouraged to provide testimony 12 applicable to both applications. Andrea Bell first testified on behalf of the City. Her 13 testimony was brief and relied primarily upon her two Staff Reports (Exhibits 3241A 14 and 9014A). As noted in the background section, the Applicant LBA OR 15 Development- Company II, was granted a Conditional Use Permit in 2019 to 16 construct and operate a warehouse facility at 3451 84th Street South in Lakewood. 17 The warehouse site adjoins 84th Street to the south and 82nd Street South to the 18 north. For various reasons, including the poor condition of 82nd Street, the Hearing 19 Examiner approved the conditional use on the condition that the Applicant not utilize 20 82nd Street for the movement of semi-truck/trailers and instead rely solely upon 84th 21 22 Street. 23 As use of the warehouse has grown, its tenants have found that the site lacks 24 sufficient parking area for trucks/trailers, with an increasing need to park them 25 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law CITY OF LAKEWOOD HEARING EXAMINER and Decision - 4 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939

elsewhere. The Applicant hopes to be able to park the trailers immediately across 82nd Street from the warehouse but in order to do so must have its earlier Conditional Use Permit modified so that the restriction on use of 82nd Street is eliminated, thereby allowing application for a Conditional Use Permit to operate a trailer parking lot across the street from the warehouse at 3515 82nd Street South. City Staff recommends approval of both the modification to the earlier Conditional Use Permit as well as the currently requested Conditional Use Permit on the basis that the storage of trailers on this site will eliminate the need to transport them to further away sites, thereby reducing overall traffic in the neighborhood. In addition, City approval is conditioned upon the Applicant dedicating additional public rightof-way and making frontage and right-of-way improvements, all of which will significantly improve the condition of 82nd Street. Additionally, the project will involve screening and landscaping to serve as a buffer between the project and the adjoining mobile home park to the east. The project site is currently vacant, undeveloped and unattractive. The conditions imposed upon project approval will significantly improve the aesthetics of the site while also improving 82nd Street. City Staff therefore recommends approval of both applications subject to the list of conditions set forth in each application's Staff Report.

At the conclusion of Ms. Bell's direct testimony the Hearing Examiner posed several questions. The Hearing Examiner first noted that there had been one public comment submitted in opposition (Exhibit F of Permit No. 3241). This public comment expressed worries about traffic in general in the area surrounding the project site and that approval of either application might worsen traffic conditions.

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision - 5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8 9

10 11

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

> Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision - 6

Ms. Bell responded to this public concern by respectfully disagreeing, noting that the project will reduce overall traffic in the neighborhood and especially along Tacoma Way; will improve the condition of 82nd Street; and will not allow the warehouse to utilize 82nd Street for access to Tacoma Way but merely to get to/from the parking area.

The Hearing Examiner next questioned Ms. Bell about steps to protect the adjoining mobile home park to the east from noise and other impacts. Ms. Bell responded that the project will provide barrier fencing as well as landscaping, all of which will serve as both a visual and noise barrier. The Hearing Examiner then questioned whether use of the parking lot should be restricted to certain hours for the benefit of the adjoining mobile home park. Ms. Bell responded that the City would not object to restrictions on hours of use but also noted that City regulations (LMC 8.36.010) already provide a restriction on such uses from 10:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m. The Hearing Examiner then noted that the Applicant had indicated in its materials that it might restrict use of the lot from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. and would the City recommend that the facility's hours be restricted to these hours? Ms. Bell again indicated the City's willingness to consider restrictions on hours of use but deferred to the Applicant for a more complete response.

At the conclusion of Ms. Bell's testimony the Applicant appeared through Michael Gregg as well as Bill Plautz. Collectively, Mr. Gregg and Mr. Plautz confirmed that the Applicant has no objection to the conditions recommended by City Staff as to either application. Mr. Plautz resisted any suggestion of restricting hours of use to 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. for the reason that future tenants may have

Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-3387

1 substantially different needs than the current one and that use of the parking area 2 should not be unnecessarily restricted. Ms. Bell then responded to this suggestion 3 and concurred, noting that the residents of the mobile home park have not sought 4 such a restriction.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

At the conclusion of the Applicant's presentation the hearing was opened for public comments. As noted earlier, there were no members of the public present and no public testimony was received. There has been only one public comment received in advance of the public hearing and, while in opposition to the application, expresses more generalized concerns about traffic conditions in the area rather than about any specific concern about this project.

I concur with City Staff that the two applications collectively provide for better, more efficient use of the warehouse facility while also resulting in valuable improvements to 82nd Street South, making the project a "win/win" for all interested parties. I therefore agree that both applications should be approved subject to the conditions recommended by City Staff but also subject to the condition that use of the parking area be restricted to 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.

Accordingly, I make the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Any Findings of Fact contained in the foregoing Background and Public Hearing Sections are incorporated herein by reference and adopted by the Hearing Examiner as his Findings of Fact.
- 2. The Applicant, LBA OR Development-Company II has submitted two related applications: (a) Land Use Modification Permit No. 9014 seeks to modify a restriction found in the Conditional Use Permit LU-18-00222 which prohibits semi-truck traffic across 82nd Street and Decision - 7 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 **CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532**

Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-3387

24

25

CITY OF LAKEWOOD HEARING EXAMINER

299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532 Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-3387

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law

and Decision - 8

would remain under original projections for the warehouse as explained more fully in the Traffic

Impact Assessment (TIA) prepared by Heath & Associates (Exhibit O of Land Use Modification

CITY OF LAKEWOOD HEARING EXAMINER 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 **CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532** Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-3387

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision - 9

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

19

21

22

23

24

25

Permit No. 9014).

17. Although the Starlite Warehouse was a permitted use under the property's zoning designation in 2019, the site zoning has since changed and the warehouse is now a legal nonconforming use in the site's current C2 zoning. Despite the warehouse becoming legally nonconforming in the current C2 zoning, this does not adversely impact the existing Conditional Use Permit nor the Applicant's right to seek modification of its conditions.

Finding Relating to Public Notice and to SEPA Review.

- 18. A Notice of Application with respect to Land Use Modification Permit No. 9014 was issued December 6, 2023, with a 28-day comment period.
- 19. A Notice of Application with respect to Conditional Use Permit No. 3241 was issued December 6, 2023, with a 30-day comment period.
- 20. The City received agency comments to both applications from the City of Lakewood Public Works Engineering Department setting forth various requirements of project approval. Public Works' recommended conditions have been included as conditions of both permits' approval. Among other things, these conditions require a dedication of additional public right-of-way, frontage improvements and an improved road surface.
- 21. The Notices of Application generated one public comment from Kathy Guptill. As noted earlier, Ms. Guptill's comments, while in opposition to the applications, expressed a more generalized concern over truck traffic in the general vicinity of the site rather than on any specific concerns relating to either application.
- 22. The City, as Lead Agency, issued a SEPA Determination of Non-significance (DNS) for the Starlite Warehouse on January 18, 2019. City Staff determined that the application to modify conditions of approval did not alter the earlier SEPA DNS, and issued an Amendment February 13, 2024, which concludes that the project will not have a significant adverse impact. No agency or public comment was received in response.

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision - 10

not adversely effect the established character of the surrounding vicinity.

- 27. The Applicant asserts, and the City agrees, that the proposed use will not adversely effect the character of the surrounding vicinity. The area surrounding the project site has a mix of both highly intensive commercial as well as legally nonconforming residential uses, all of which have been long established. Permit approval has been conditioned upon establishing screening fencing as well as landscaping to buffer any adverse impacts upon adjoining properties. These improvements, as well as frontage improvements along 82nd Street, will provide aesthetic enhancement to the area without any adverse impact upon surrounding uses.
 - 28. As conditioned, the requirements of LMC 18A.30.130.A.1 has been met.
- 29. Pursuant to LMC 18A.30.130.A.2, the proposed use must not be detrimental to the public health, safety or general welfare.
- 30. The Applicant asserts, and the City Staff agrees, that the proposed use of the site for trailer parking has been adequately conditioned so as to ensure that the project is not detrimental to the public health, safety and general welfare.
- 31. Pursuant to LMC 18A.30.130.A.3, the project must not be injurious to the property or improvements adjacent to, and in the vicinity of, the project site. The Applicant and City Staff agree that the proposed use will improve the aesthetics of the site as well as the public right-of-way along 82nd Street South, and that the proposed site improvements, landscaping, road improvements, paving and fencing will all enhance the value of nearby properties.
- 32. Pursuant to LMC 18A.30.130.A.B, the granting of the proposed conditional use must be consistent and compatible with the goals and policies of the City's Comprehensive Plan and any code, ordinance, regulation, or standard in effect to implement the Plan.
- 33. City Staff has carefully reviewed the proposed conditional use with respect to consistency with the City's Comprehensive Plan and finds the application to be consistent with the Plan in general and with Goal LU16 and Policy LU16.1; and Goal LU18 and Policy LU18.1

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision - 12

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law

and Decision - 13

CITY OF LAKEWOOD HEARING EXAMINER 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532 Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-3387

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision - 14

Examiner concurs.

- 43. Pursuant to LMC 18A.30.130.G, the conditions necessary to mitigate the impacts of the proposed use must be capable of reasonable monitoring and enforcement.
- 44. Both the Applicant and City concur that, given the limited scope and minimal anticipated impacts of the project, any mitigation measures will be easily capable of reasonable monitoring and enforcement. The City additionally finds that its proposed conditions of approval will ensure that the impacts of the proposed conditional use are mitigated and can be reasonably monitored and enforced. The Hearing Examiner concurs.
- 45. City Staff recommends approval of the requested Land Use Modification Permit No. 9014 subject to the three conditions found at page 16 of the Staff Report for that application.
- 46. City Staff recommends approval of the requested Conditional Use Permit No. 3241 subject to the five conditions set forth in the Staff Report for that Conditional Use Permit application, commencing at page 8.
- 47. The Hearing Examiner finds that the recommended conditions of approval should be jointly imposed on both projects in order to ensure full compliance.
- 48. The Hearing Examiner finds that an additional condition of project approval should be imposed which restricts hours of use of the trailer parking lot to 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Hearing Examiner makes the following:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Hearing Examiner has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter.
- 2. Any Conclusions of Law contained in the foregoing Background and Public Hearing Sections or contained in the foregoing Findings of Fact are hereby incorporated by reference and adopted by the Hearing Examiner as his Conclusions of Law.

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532 Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-3387

and Decision - 17

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision - 19

1	DATED this day of May, 2024.			
2				
3	Mark C. Schefbmeir City of Lakewood Hearing Examiner			
4	Appeal Right and Valuation Notices			
E	Final decision of the Hearing Examiner are subject to appeal to superior court. Appeals			
5	of final land use decisions to superior court are governed by the Land Use Petition Act ("LUPA"), Chapter 36.70C RCW. LUPA imposes short appeal deadlines with strict service			
7	requirements. Persons wishing to file LUPA appeals should consult with an attorney to ensure that LUPA appeal requirements are correctly followed.			
8	Affected property owners may request a change in valuation for property tax purposes notwithstanding any program of revaluation.			
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				